



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/835,040	04/13/2001	Jerry B. Roberts	M0000-1001	5093	
7	7590 08/23/2005			EXAMINER	
William D. Miller, Esq.			NGUYEN, KIMNHUNG T		
3M Office of Intellectual Property Counsel P.O. Box 33427			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
St. Paul, MN 55133-3427			2677		
			DATE MAILED: 08/23/200	DATE MAILED: 08/23/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/835,040	ROBERTS, JERRY B.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kimnhung Nguyen	2677			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timety. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ma	arch 2005.				
	action is non-final.				
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-41 and 81-85 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-41 and 81-85 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	г.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-	, , , , ,	•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2677

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This Application has been examined. The claims 1-41 and 81-85 are pending. The examination results are as following.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-16,18-20,22-25, 32-35, and 81-85 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frisch et al. (US 5,854,625) in view of Berstis et al. (US 6,115,030).

Regarding claims 1, 22-23, 32 and 34, Frisch et al. discloses in figure 2A-3B, a force sensor for sensing a touch force applied to touch surface (18), the force sensor comprising: a first element (see spring 20) including an elastic element (because the spring 20 could be elastic member) and a first capacitor plate (24a) having first capacitive surface; and second element (see spring 20 including a second capacitor plate (24b) opposed to the first capacitor plate; wherein transmission of at least part of the touch force through the elastic element contributes to a change capacitance between the first capacitor plate and the second capacitor plate (see column 5, lines 62-67). However, Frisch et al. does not disclose the elastic element including at least part of the first capacitor plate. Berstis et al. discloses a input system in fig. 1A, an elastic element (32) including at least part of the capacitor plate (22, fig. 1A, see col. 2, lines 52-54); or an elastic element (332) also including at least part of the capacitor plate (328, see fig. 4, see col. 7, lines 39-42, col. 7, lines 60-62). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the

Art Unit: 2677

of the capacitor as taught by Berstis et al. into the system of Frisch et al. because this would provide the restoring force, and is preferably shaped as an arch to distribute the stress and keep the stress well within the elastic limit of the material.

Regarding claim 2, Frisch et al. discloses further the first element (2) is substantially planar (see figure 2A).

Regarding claims 3, 24, Frisch et al. does not disclose the first capacitor plate and the elastic element are integral. Berstis et al. discloses the first capacitor plate (22) and the elastic element (32) are integral (see fig. 1A) as discussed above.

Regarding claims 4-10, 25, Frisch et al. discloses the first capacitor plate and the elastic element are composed have the same substrate (see figure 2A), and wherein the elastic element comprises an elevated feature of the first capacitor plate, and located at the elastic center of the first element (12, see figure 2A), and the force sensor, further comprising force-receiving means (regions 32) for receiving at least part of the touch force into the first element (see column 6, lines 65-67).

Regarding claims 11-12, Frisch et al. discloses the force sensor further, the touch surface (18) is in communication with a region surface of the force-receiving means (32), and wherein the touch surface tends to remain in contact with the region the surface of the force-receiving means when the position of the touch surface changes with respect the force-receiving means (see col.6, lines 65-67), further comprising force transmission means (32) for transmitting at least part of the touch force to at least one structure other than the first element.

Art Unit: 2677

Regarding claims 13-16, 33, and 35, Frisch et al. discloses further the force sensor, wherein the second element (14) comprises planar support surface that includes a plurality electrically conductive mechanical bearing contacts (see col. 5, lines 62-64); and wherein at least portions of the first capacitor plate are in contact with the plurality of mechanical bearing contacts to transmit force thereto (see col. 5, lines 62-67), wherein the second capacitor plate (24b) includes a second capacitive surface that is coplanar with the plurality of mechanical bearing contacts and are composed of the same substrate (see col.6, lines 36-38). The force sensor of claim wherein the planar support surface is part of an interconnect system to transmit a signal developed response to the change capacitance between the first capacitor plate and the second capacitor plate (see col. 5, lines 39-46), and wherein the second capacitive surface and the at least one support surface are integral (see figure 2A).

Regarding claims 18-20, Frisch et al. discloses that the force sensor, further comprising force signal development means for developing a signal in response to the change in capacitance between the first capacitor plate and the second capacitor plate, and wherein the force sensor includes an inherent axis of sensitivity that passes through the elastic, and wherein the touch surface is a touch surface of a handheld device (see column 3, lines 33-37).

Regarding claim 81, Frisch et al. discloses that a method for measuring the touch force applied to the touch surface using the force sensor, the method comprising a step of developing a signal based on the change in capacitance between the first capacitor plate (24a) and the second capacitor plate (24b, see col. 5, lines 40-46).

Art Unit: 2677

Regarding claims 82-85, Frisch et al. discloses the method for measuring the touch sensor, wherein the amplitude of the signal is a monotonic function of the change in capacitance between the first capacitor plate and the second capacitor plate (see col. 3, lines 39-67, and col. 4, lines 1-8), Frisch et al. discloses that the step of measuring the amplitude of a component of the touch force should an inherent perpendicular to the touch surface, or a step of measuring a location on the touch surface at which the touch force is applied (see col. 3, lines 51-58).

3. Claims 17, 21, 26-31 and 36-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frisch et al. (US 5,854,625) and Berstis et al. (US 6,115,030).

Frisch et al. and Berstis et al. disclose every feature of the claimed invention as discussed above, excluding wherein the first and second capacitor plates are separated by a volume, and wherein the ratio of the height of the volume to the volume's greatest breadth is less than .05; or the length of the mechanical path defining the capacitive gap being no greater than one-fifth of the maximum distance between any two force sensors that are used in the touch location device, or wherein the first capacitive surface, the elastic element and, and the second capacitor plate has a greatest dimension that is at least five times its least dimension; or the length of the mechanical path defining the capacitive gap being no greater than four times the maximum dimension of the volume of the capacitor gap; or the unloaded state of the force sensor not more than 10 mils, or the unloaded state of the force sensor is not less than thirty times the average height of the capacitive gap in the unloaded state of the force sensor; or the wherein the force sensor has a normal stiffness not less than 0.5 pounds per mil.

Page 6

Art Unit: 2677

From the claims above, it would have been obvious for Frisch et al. and Berstis et al.'s system to have excluding wherein the first and second capacitor plates are separated by a volume, and wherein the ratio of the height of the volume to the volume's greatest breadth is less than .05; or the length of the mechanical path defining the capacitive gap being no greater than one-fifth of the maximum distance between any two force sensors that are used in the touch location device, or wherein the first capacitive surface, the elastic element and, and the second capacitor plate has a greatest dimension that is at least five times its least dimension; or the length of the mechanical path defining the capacitive gap being no greater than four times the maximum dimension of the volume of the capacitor gap; or the unloaded state of the force sensor not more than 10 mils, or the unloaded state of the force sensor is not less than thirty times the average height of the capacitive gap in the unloaded state of the force sensor; or the wherein the force sensor has a normal stiffness not less than 0.5 pounds per mil as claimed since such a modification would have involved a mere change in size/range of he system. A change in size/range is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

See In Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1995) and See In re Reven, 156 USPQ 679 (CCPA 1968).

## Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimnhung Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-7698. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-FRI, FROM 8:30 AM-5:30 PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/835,040 Page 7

Art Unit: 2677

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Edouard can be reached on (571) 272-7603. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kimnhung Nguyen August 18, 2005

PRIMARY EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600